	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
	In the Matter of MUR 6070 LYLE LARSON FOR CONGRESS AND ERNESTO ANCIRA AS TREASURER CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM
)	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated
?	
}	are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The
}	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rared matters compared to other higher-rated
;	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to
;	dismiss these cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6070 as a low-rated matter.
7	In this matter, the complainant, Brian Wolff representing the Democratic
3	Congressional Campaign Committee, alleges that the Lyle Larson for Congress Committee,
)	candidate Lyle Larson, and Ernesto Ancira, in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively,
)	the "Committee"), violated the disclaimer provisions for televised communications under 2
	U.S.C. § 441d(d)(1)(B)(ii). Specifically, the Committee allegedly failed to include a written
)	disclaimer in its televised commercial indicating that the candidate approved the
i	communication.
,	The Committee responded by noting that it had contacted Commission staff prior to
;	running its commercial and was informed that the written disclaimer "Paid for by Lyle
i	Larson for Congress" was acceptable. Thus, the Committee ran the commercial without
	using the written phrase "approved by" the candidate. Immediately following the
	commercial, the Committee learned from the press that its written disclaimer was inadequate.

Case Closure Under EPS - MUR 6070 General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 2

ı	The Committee claims that it again contacted Commission staff concerning the requirements
2	for its disclaimer and was then informed that the information it previously received was
3	incorrect. Thereafter, the Committee took corrective action within 24 hours of its contact
4	with the Commission.
5	Given the Committee's expeditious corrective action, it appears that the impact on the
6	process, if any, was de minimus. Accordingly, in considering the Commission's priorities
7	and resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of
8	General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion
9	and dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).
10	RECOMMENDATIONS
11	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss
12	MUR 6070, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.
13	
14 15	Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel
16	General Counsel
17	11
18	11.11.0
19	5/19/09 BY:
20	Date Gregory R. Baker
21	Special Counsel
22 23	Complaints Examination
23 24	& Legal Administration
25	
26	$() A \rightarrow \bigcirc /($
27	
28	Jeff S. Jordan
29 30	Supervisory Attorney
31	Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
32	TAPAT . MIDITALISM
33	